

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/647,156	Applicant(s) STENKAMP ET AL.	
	Examiner TAMTHOM N. TRUONG	Art Unit 1624	

All Participants:

(1) TAMTHOM N. TRUONG.

(2) David A. Dow.

Date of Interview: 3 November 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
45, 60 and 69-73

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Tamthom N. Truong/
Examiner, Art Unit 1624

Status of Application: After Final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: ~ 1:30 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Truong discussed with Mr. Dow of R13 in claim 45 is extraneous, and needs to be deleted; also definition of R20 needs to include groups of "difluoromethyl, trifluoromethyl, difluoromethoxy, trifluoromethoxy" to give antecedent basis to claim 56. Also, withdrawn claims 69-73 need to be cancelled. Claim 60, at the end, needs to have "and" be replaced with – or –. Mr. Dow seemingly agreed to the above changes to put the case in condition for allowance.